**REMARKS** 

Status of the Claims

Claims 1 and 8 are amended herein. Claims 11-20 were withdrawn. Therefore with

this amendment, Claims 1-10 are currently pending and under examination. The

amendments do not introduce new matter into this application. Support for the amendments

is found throughout the specification.

**Status of the Specification** 

The written description is amended to correct translational/typographical errors.

Applicant asserts that these amendments to the specification do not introduce new matter into

this application.

**Telephonic Interview** 

On May 9, 2006, Examiner Moss participated in a telephone interview with Dr. David

E. Wigley and Mr. Todd J. Obijeski. Examiner Moss confirmed the documentation required

to perfect a claim for foreign priority in the above-identified application. Applicant and his

representatives thank Examiner Moss for her courtesy in conducting this interview.

**Claim for Foreign Priority** 

Applicant herewith attaches the following documents:

- Exhibit A – A certified copy of EP application 02001519.4, filed January 22, 2002, in

German.

- Exhibit B – A copy of the published PCT application WO 03/062797 A1 for PCT application PCT/03/00357, filed January 15, 2003, in German. Applicant notes that

PCT/03/00357 is identical in wording to priority document EP 02001519.4.

- Exhibit C - A copy of the English translation of PCT/EP03/00357 and the basis for the

pending application, 10/686,865.

- Exhibit D - A certificate of translation for the translation of Exhibit B to Exhibit C.

WCSR 3045202v1

Amendment and Response to Office Action dated April 3, 2006

Application No. 10/686,865

Filed: October 16, 2003

Page 9 of 11

Accordingly, for the pending application, Applicant asserts a claim of foreign priority as of

the earliest effective filing date of January 22, 2002, the filing date of EP application

02001519.4.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

due to phrasing of Claim 1, step c). In view of the amendment to Claim 1, step c), Applicant

asserts that this rejection is obviated and respectfully requests that it be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1, 3, and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0175827 A1 to Stillman et al.

("Stillman"). In view of the earliest effective filing date of the present application and the

above-mentioned claim for foreign priority, Applicant respectfully asserts that this rejection

is obviated.

As indicated on the face of Publication Number 2003/0175827 A1, Stillman was filed

on March 14, 2002, under U.S. Patent Application Serial Number 10/098,768. The filing

date of Stillman - March 14, 2002 - is after the priority date of January 22, 2002, of the

pending application. Hence, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), Stillman may not be

used as a "prior art" reference against the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicant

respectfully requests that the rejection of Claims 1, 3, and 5-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as

being anticipated by Stillman be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable in view of

Stillman. In view of the earliest effective filing date of the present application and the above-

mentioned claim for foreign priority, Applicant respectfully asserts that this rejection is

obviated.

WCSR 3045202v1

Amendment and Response to Office Action dated April 3, 2006

Application No. 10/686,865

Filed: October 16, 2003

Page 10 of 11

As stated above, the filing date of Stillman – March 14, 2002 – is after the priority

date of January 22, 2002, of the pending application. Hence, Stillman may not be used as a

"prior art" reference against the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully

requests that the rejection of Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Stillman be

withdrawn.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman in

view of U.S. Patent No. 5,079,157 to Furuoya et al. ("Furuoya"). In view of the earliest

effective filing date of the present application and the above-mentioned claim for foreign

priority, Applicant respectfully asserts that this rejection is obviated.

As stated above, the filing date of Stillman – March 14, 2002 – is after the priority

date of January 22, 2002, of the pending application. Hence, Stillman may not be used as a

"prior art" reference against the claimed invention. As implied by the PTO, Furuoya alone

fails to teach or suggest each and every element of Claim 4. Accordingly, Applicant

respectfully requests that the rejection of Claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of

Stillman and Furuoya be withdrawn.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman in

view of U.S. Patent No. 4,629,785 to McCaffery ("McCaffery"). In view of the earliest

effective filing date of the present application and the above-mentioned claim for foreign

priority, Applicant respectfully asserts that this rejection is obviated.

As stated above, the filing date of Stillman - March 14, 2002 - is after the priority

date of January 22, 2002, of the pending application. Hence, Stillman may not be used as a

"prior art" reference against the claimed invention. As implied by the PTO, McCaffery alone

fails to teach or suggest each and every element of Claim 8. Accordingly, Applicant

respectfully requests that the rejection of Claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of

Stillman and McCaffery be withdrawn.

WCSR 3045202v1

Amendment and Response to Office Action dated April 3, 2006

Application No. 10/686,865 Filed: October 16, 2003

Page 11 of 11

**CONCLUSION** 

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully asserts that the

rejections set forth in the Office Action have been fully addressed and overcome. Hence,

Applicant asserts that all Claims are in condition for allowance and requests that an early

notice of allowance be issued. If issues may be resolved through Examiner's Amendment, or

clarified in any manner, a call to the undersigned attorney at (404) 962-7523 is respectfully

requested.

No fees are believed due, however, the Commissioner if hereby authorized to charge

any deficiencies which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.

09-0528.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 31, 2006

By: Louis T/Isaf Reg. No. 29,078

WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC

P.O. Box 7037

Atlanta, Georgia 30357-0037

Direct Telephone: (404) 962-7523 Direct Facsimile: (404) 870-8173

Firm Telephone: (404) 872-7000

Atty. Docket No.: \$159 1030.1 (38461.0005.8)